

REPORT:

TermAppISONFT: Orkhestra Cross Test Performance Summary

Code Magus Limited (England reg. no. 4024745)
Number 6, 69 Woodstock Road
Oxford, OX2 6EY, United Kingdom
www.codemagus.com
Copyright © 2021 by Code Magus Limited
All rights reserved



Contents

1	Intro	oduction	2
2		mary of successful outcomes for latest testing Test 1 - TermAppISONFT - TermAppISO	3
3	Com	parison of latest tests to pooled previous tests	4
	3.1 3.2 3.3	Differences in response time distributions 3.1.1 Test 1 - TermAppISONFT - TermAppISO Increases in the response times Decreases in the response times 3.3.1 Test 1 - TermAppISONFT - TermAppISO	4 4 5 5 5
4	Com	parison across all tests individually	6
	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 4.6	Performance of authorisation_request_1100 with outcome: AUTHORISA-TION_RESPONSE_1110_OK Performance of authorisation_request_1100 with outcome: disconnect Performance of authorisation_request_1100 with outcome: timeout Performance of transaction_advice_response_1230 with outcome: disconnect Performance of transaction_advice_response_1230 with outcome: timeout Performance of transaction_advice_response_1230 with outcome: TRANSAC-TION_ADVICE_RESPONSE_1230_OK	7 8 9 10 11
5	Sess	ion details	14

```
## Loading required package: lattice
##
## Attaching package: 'BSDA'
## The following object is masked from 'package:datasets':
##
##
              Orange
##
        : starts: Fri Jul 23 13:56:20 2021
     The following files in ../Test_Summary_Comparisons/csv match pattern "*Performant
##
            File = Test_Performance_Summary_D20201207.csv
##
           File = Test_Performance_Summary_D20201208.csv
##
           File = Test Performance Summary D20201209 2.csv
##
           File = Test_Performance_Summary_D20201209.csv
##
           File = Test_Performance_Summary_D20201216.csv
##
           File = Test_Performance_Summary_D20210115.csv
##
           File = Test_Performance_Summary_D20210510.csv
##
           File = Test_Performance_Summary_D20210520.csv
##
           File = Test_Performance_Summary_D20210628.csv
##
           File = Test_Performance_Summary_D20210629.csv
##
           File = Test_Performance_Summary_D20210716.csv
##
           File = Test_Performance_Summary_D20210719.csv
##
           File = Test_Performance_Summary_D20210722.csv
##
           File = Test_Performance_Summary_D20210723.csv
##
           File = Test_Performance_Summary_D20201207.csv with 3 rows added to total make
##
           File = Test_Performance_Summary_D20201208.csv with 3 rows added to total mak.
##
           File = Test_Performance_Summary_D20201209_2.csv with 5 rows added to total magnetic formation of the state of
##
           File = Test_Performance_Summary_D20201209.csv with 3 rows added to total mak.
##
           File = Test_Performance_Summary_D20201216.csv with 15 rows added to total mail
##
           File = Test_Performance_Summary_D20210115.csv with 3 rows added to total mak.
##
           File = Test_Performance_Summary_D20210510.csv with 4 rows added to total mak.
##
           File = Test_Performance_Summary_D20210520.csv with 4 rows added to total mak.
##
           File = Test_Performance_Summary_D20210628.csv with 5 rows added to total make
##
           File = Test_Performance_Summary_D20210629.csv with 3 rows added to total mak.
##
           File = Test_Performance_Summary_D20210716.csv with 3 rows added to total mak.
##
           File = Test_Performance_Summary_D20210719.csv with 3 rows added to total make
##
           File = Test_Performance_Summary_D20210722.csv with 5 rows added to total mak.
##
           File = Test_Performance_Summary_D20210723.csv with 6 rows added to total mak.
```

1 Introduction

There are three elements to this cross-test performance summary report. The first shows a summary of the percentage success of each function/operation/call. The second element compares the performance of the latest test(s) to the pooled performance of previous tests for each of the functions/operations/calls and outcomes. And the third element of this report compares the performance by function/operation/call by the outcome across multiple NFT result sets.

The percentage successful outcomes are presented as a summary for the latest test(s). This summary is

ranked in increasing order of the percentage of good outcomes of that scenario against all attempts of that scenario in the test.

As a summary and for ranking the performance of the last tests results against previous test results, for each function/operation/call and outcome, the tests in the last test session are compared against the tests in previous sessions. This is accomplished by pooling the sample mean of the response times and pooling the sample standard deviations of response times across all prior tests, and then comparing the latest test(s) with the previous tests using tsum.test. The results are by ranked by the corresponding p-values in increasing order and tabulated. For each function/operation/call request, three comparison tests are made: The first determines a measure of the difference between the respective response time distributions; the second determines a measure of those response times that could be considered worse in the latest test(s) as compared to the pooled previous test; and the third determines a measure of those response times that could be considered better in the latest test(s) as compared to the pooled previous tests.

In addition to tabulating the response time means and standard deviations against function/operation/call and outcomes across the tests, box-plots are produced to visually compare the performance/outcomes over the various tests. In each case, the box-plots show up the 15 most extreme functions/operations/calls that are most different to the historic response time distributions, and then a box-plot each showing those that have response times greatest increase and decrease in their response times when compared to their respective historic counterparts.

The last section of the report compares the performance by function/operation/call by the outcome across multiple NFT result sets. The summary results have been taken from the application performance sections of the individual NFT sessions. The Resp value is the sample mean of the response times in seconds and the StdDevis the corresponding sample standard deviation. In each case only those values where the customer or business function arrival rate did not materially exceed the peak observed/production target are included in the calculation.

2 Summary of successful outcomes for latest testing

2.1 Test 1 - TermAppISONFT - TermAppISO

The following table is a summary of the outcomes of test 1 (TermAppISONFT - TermAppISO), showing the percentage of functions/operations/calls considered successful. The scenarios are shown from worst percentage good outcomes to best:

StartTime	TestNum b ærbel		Descriptio B asename	Outcome	Count PercentResp StdDev
2021-07- 23	1	TermApp	ISIONIFA ppISiOnorisation_1	request_ ALOD HORISATIO	N_RE SPION 86 . <u>6</u> 9710 <u>1</u> 69K 1.177
10:40:00 2021-07- 23 10:40:00	1	TermApp	ol Si@MFA ppl Si@ nsaction_ad	vice_res praso<u>S</u>ACT ION_	ADV II/2E2&£%B@A\\$E 11230 <u>7</u> ØK

3

3 Comparison of latest tests to pooled previous tests

The last test date in the summary data is used to delimit the prior tests from the tests in the last test session. This section compares the tests performed on testdate to the tests that ran in sessions prior to this date. Comparisons are made only for the successful outcomes, and only the performance data where the rate in each of the tests included in the comparison did not exceed the target rate is included in the comparison.

3.1 Differences in response time distributions


The following show the comparisons of the good outcomes of the tests performed on 2021-07-23 as compared to the tests performed before this date. The table is ranked in increasing order of the p-values from the corresponding Welch Modified Two-Sample t-Test (two.sided), starting from the function/operation/call where the response time distribution differences are the greatest. Results are only shown for which the p-value is less than or equal to the cutoff value ($\alpha = 0.05$).

3.1.1 Test 1 - TermAppISONFT - TermAppISO

The following compare the responses time differences from the test started at 2021-07-23 10:40:00 to the tests from previous test sessions.

Basename	Outcome	Count Resp	StdDev	v PrevCo	ıntPrevMe	arPrevStdDev	pvalue.d
authorisation_re	equest_110AUTHORISATION_	RESPO N 30 27_111. 10 _3C)K .177	208158	2.111	4.877	0
transaction_adv	ice_respon T&_A2XS ACTION_AI	DVICE <u>1</u> REXPONSE	_112B707_C	№ 06997	0.322	0.309	0

^{##} Loading required package: grid



Key	Basename
A	authorisation_request_1100
В	transaction_advice_response_1230

3.2 Increases in the response times

There were no significant response time increases when comparing the test(s) in the last test session to tests from earlier test sessions for any of the items.


3.3 Decreases in the response times

The following show the comparisons of the good outcomes of the tests performed on 2021-07-23 as compared to the tests performed before this date. The table is ranked in increasing order of the p-values from the corresponding Welch Modified Two-Sample t-Test (less), starting from the function/operation/call where the response time decreases are the greatest. Results are only shown for which the p-value is less than or equal to the cutoff value ($\alpha = 0.05$).

3.3.1 Test 1 - TermAppISONFT - TermAppISO

The following compare the responses time decreases from the test started at 2021-07-23 10:40:00 to the tests from previous test sessions.

Basename	Outcome	Count Resp	StdDev	PrevCo	untPrevMe	earPrevStdDev	pvalue.l
authorisation_i	request_110AUTHORISATION_	RESPO NSE 7_11.10 <u>3</u> 0	K .177	208158	2.111	4.877	0
transaction_ad	vice_respon T&_A2XX ACTION_AI	OVICE <u>1</u> REXPONSE_	112BW7_O	№ 06997	0.322	0.309	0



Key	Basename
A	authorisation_request_1100
B	transaction_advice_response_1230

4 Comparison across all tests individually


This section compares the performance between the NFT tests to date for each of the functions/operations/calls included in the corresponding test.

In the box-plots that follow, in each case, the centre is the sample mean response time value in seconds for that particular function/operation/call qualified by the outcome of that function/operation/call. The lower edge of the box is the corresponding sample mean response time value less the sample standard deviation, and the upper edge of the box is the corresponding sample mean response time value plus the standard deviation. The minimum and maximum values are calculated by taking two times the standard deviation in a similar manner.

4.1 Performance of authorisation_request_1100 with outcome: AUTHORI-SATION_RESPONSE_1110_OK

The following table shows the performance descriptive statistics for authorisation_request_1100 when the outcomes are AUTHORISATION_RESPONSE_1110_OK.

TestDate	Description	Basename	Outcome	Count Percent Resp	StdDev
2020-	TermAppISO Integrator	authorisation	requestAUTOHORISATION	_RE STOO 20\\$000.000000_08\	7 0.123
12-07	Routing Regression		_		
2020-	TermAppISO Integrator	authorisation_	requestALTDHORISATION	_RE SPONSE 0.00000 <u>.</u> 088	0.124
12-08	Routing Regression				
2020-	TermAppISO Int Routing	authorisation_	requestALTOHORISATION	_RE 8863N9E .18 2 10 <u>6</u> . 0K	8.841
12-09	Regression				
2020-	TermAppISO	authorisation_	requestAUTHORISATION	_RE 80243 N \$ 0 20.000000_ .0 88	3 0.122
12-09					
2020-	TermAppISO Integrator	authorisation_	requestALTOHORISATION	_RE 8940N9E .954104.60K	7.550
12-16	Routing Changes				
2020-	TermAppISO with 40	authorisation_	requestAUTOHORISATION	_RE 8950N9E .97410 <u>4</u> . 08 8	7.560
12-16	provider threads				
2020-	TermAppISO with 80	authorisation_	requestAUTOHORISATION	_RE 8P30P19E .94B10 <u>5</u> . 01 K	1 8.069
12-16	provider threads				
2021-	TermAppISO	authorisation_	requestALTOHORISATION	_RE 802101\$00 .000000_018	3 0.049
01-15					
2021-	TermAppISO	authorisation_	requestALTOHORISATION	_RE SPONSE .000100. 028	0.074
05-10					
2021-	TermAppISO	authorisation_	requestAUTOHORISATION	_RE 3093 N SE .021110 <u>0</u> . 0 K	3 0.028
05-20					
2021-	TermAppISO	authorisation_	requestALTOHORISATION	_RE \$940 N \$& <u>0</u> 7510 <u>0</u> . 0 38	2 0.903
06-28					
2021-	TermAppISO	authorisation_	requestALTOHORISATION	_RE sponse 0.00000.016	5 0.079
06-29					
2021-	TermAppISO	authorisation_	requestALTDHORISATION	_RE S483 51\$ 6 000000000000000000000000000000000000	3 0.062
07-16					
2021-	TermAppISO	authorisation_	requestALTDHORISATION	_RE ST@13\S@ 0.00000_0K	7 0.100
07-19					
2021-	TermAppISO	authorisation_	requestAUTDHORISATION	_RE \SPI3N\$\& _6 94 10 <u>0</u> . 0 3\	0.901
07-22					
2021-	TermAppISO	authorisation_	_requestAUTDHORISATION_	_RE S2201\\ 816 .6917101 .03 5	3 1.177
07-23					




4.2 Performance of authorisation_request_1100 with outcome: disconnect

The following table shows the performance descriptive statistics for authorisation_request_1100 when the outcomes are disconnect.

	D 1.2	D.		<u> </u>	D .		C. ID
TestDate	Description	Basename	Outcome	Count	Percent	Resp	StdDev
2020-12-	TermAppISO Int Routing	authorisation_request_	1 d0 @connect	304	1.602	4.166	0.205
09	Regression						
2020-12-	TermAppISO Integrator	authorisation_request_	1 d0 0connect	3	0.015	4.318	0.124
16	Routing Changes						
2020-12-	TermAppISO with 40	authorisation_request_	1 d0 0connect	2	0.010	4.258	0.060
16	provider threads						
2020-12-	TermAppISO with 80	authorisation_request_	1 d0 0connect	5	0.026	4.191	0.021
16	provider threads						
2021-05-	TermAppISO	authorisation_request_	1 d0 @connect	7173	50.000	0.027	0.037
10							
2021-05-	TermAppISO	authorisation_request_	1 d0 @connect	7089	49.979	0.016	0.024
20							
2021-06-	TermAppISO	authorisation_request_	1 d0 0 c onnect	467	2.142	4.241	0.721
28							
2021-07-	TermAppISO	authorisation_request_	1 d0 0 c onnect	568	2.579	3.758	0.901
22							
2021-07-	TermAppISO	authorisation_request_	1 d0 00connect	177	1.257	34.187	1.177
23							


TestDate	Description	Basename	Outcome	Count Percent	Resp	StdDev
----------	-------------	----------	---------	---------------	------	--------



4.3 Performance of authorisation_request_1100 with outcome: timeout

The following table shows the performance descriptive statistics for authorisation_request_1100 when the outcomes are timeout.


TestDate	Description	Basename	Outcome	Count	Percent	Resp	StdDev
2020-12-	TermAppISO Int Routing	authorisation_request_	11 0 0neout	41	0.216	99.999	0.000
09	Regression						
2020-12-	TermAppISO Integrator	authorisation_request_	_11 00 neout	6	0.031	99.999	0.000
16	Routing Changes						
2020-12-	TermAppISO with 40 provider	authorisation_request_	_11 00 neout	3	0.015	99.999	0.000
16	threads						
2020-12-	TermAppISO with 80 provider	authorisation_request_	_11 00 neout	6	0.031	99.999	0.000
16	threads						
2021-06-	TermAppISO	authorisation_request_	_11 00 neout	17396	79.784	99.999	0.000
28							
2021-07-	TermAppISO	authorisation_request_	_11 00 neout	17342	78.727	99.999	0.901
22							
2021-07-	TermAppISO	authorisation_request_	_11 00 neout	1696	12.045	99.999	1.177
23							



4.4 Performance of transaction_advice_response_1230 with outcome: disconnect

The following table shows the performance descriptive statistics for transaction_advice_response_1230 when the outcomes are disconnect.

TestDate	Description	Basename	Outcome	Count	Percent	Resp	StdDev
2021-07- 23	TermAppISO	transaction_advice_response_	1230 disconnect	2	0.016	21.704	1.177




4.5 Performance of transaction_advice_response_1230 with outcome: time-out

The following table shows the performance descriptive statistics for transaction_advice_response_1230 when the outcomes are timeout.

TestDate	Description	Basename	Outcome	Count	Percent	Resp	StdDev
2020-	TermAppISO Integrator	transaction_advice_respon	s ¢ iml€30t	33	0.211	99.999	0.000
12-07	Routing Regression						
2020-	TermAppISO Integrator	transaction_advice_respon	s e<u>in</u>11230 t	16	0.101	99.999	0.000
12-08	Routing Regression						
2020-	TermAppISO Int Routing	transaction_advice_respon	s e<u>in</u>h230 t	15	0.081	99.999	0.000
12-09	Regression						
2020-	TermAppISO	transaction_advice_respon	s e i <u>n</u> 11230t	35	0.214	99.999	0.000
12-09							
2020-	TermAppISO Integrator	transaction_advice_respon	s e i <u>n</u> 11230t	28	0.145	99.999	0.000
12-16	Routing Changes						
2020-	TermAppISO with 40 provider	transaction_advice_respon	s e i <u>n</u> 11230t	16	0.082	99.999	0.000
12-16	threads						
2020-	TermAppISO with 80 provider	transaction_advice_respon	s e i <u>n</u> 11€30t	57	0.296	99.999	0.000
12-16	threads						
2021-	TermAppISO	transaction_advice_respon	s e<u>in</u>11230 t	20	0.122	99.999	0.000
01-15							

TestDate	Description	Basename	Outcome	Count	Percent	Resp	StdDev
2021-	TermAppISO	transaction_advice_respon	s ¢<u>i</u>nh∂∂0 t	14	0.196	99.999	0.000
05-10							
2021-	TermAppISO	transaction_advice_respon	s e <u>in</u> 11230t	13	0.184	99.999	0.000
05-20							
2021-	TermAppISO	transaction_advice_respon	s ¢<u>i</u>nh∂∂0t	14	0.357	99.999	0.000
06-28							
2021-	TermAppISO	transaction_advice_respon	s e i <u>n</u> 11230t	17	0.122	99.999	0.000
06-29							
2021-	TermAppISO	transaction_advice_respon	s ¢<u>i</u>nh∂∂0t	23	0.156	99.999	0.062
07-16							
2021-	TermAppISO	transaction_advice_respon	s ¢<u>in</u>h∂∂0t	32	0.205	99.999	0.100
07-19							
2021-	TermAppISO	transaction_advice_respon	s ¢<u>in</u>11230 t	18	0.439	99.999	0.901
07-22							
2021-	TermAppISO	transaction_advice_respon	s ¢<u>in</u>h∂∂0t	17	0.140	99.999	1.177
07-23							

transaction_advice_response_1230: timeout




4.6 Performance of transaction_advice_response_1230 with outcome: TRANSACTION_ADVICE_RESPONSE_1230_OK

Copyright (c) 2021 Code Magus Limited. All rights reserved.

The following table shows the performance descriptive statistics for transaction_advice_response_1230 when the outcomes are TRANSACTION_ADVICE_RESPONSE_1230_OK.

TestDat	te Description	Basename	Outcome	Count PercentResp StdDev
2020-	TermAppISO Integrator	transaction_adv	ice_respanden_\$200TION	ADVI 03570ESP08NSE<u>1</u>67230<u>0</u>97K
12-07	Routing Regression			
2020-	TermAppISO Integrator	transaction_adv	ice_resptandeN\$200TION	_ADVI C57_80F\$P\$99\SE 1_62_300_0 9 \K
12-08	Routing Regression			
2020-	TermAppISO Int Routing	transaction_adv	ice_resptande_\$200TION	_ADVI 035<u>5</u>359599019\S1E7_3 6230 <u>4</u> 62K
12-09	Regression			
2020-	TermAppISO	transaction_adv	ice_resf tth&e<u>N</u>\$200 TION	_ADVI 063<u>5</u>8F89P78N/SE 164230 <u>0</u> 91K
12-09				
2020-	TermAppISO Integrator	transaction_adv	ice_resf tth&e<u>N</u>\$200 TION	_ADVI 09298F8\$P8318\\$E 6(10230)426K
12-16	Routing Changes			
2020-	TermAppISO with 40	transaction_adv	ice_resf tth&e<u>N</u>\$200 TION	_ADVI 095_03F98P9018\SE 6_012\300_4 28 K
12-16	provider threads			
2020-	TermAppISO with 80	transaction_adv	ice_resptanden_\$200TION	_ADVI 092_277F9\$P700A\\$JE6_512 330 <u>4</u> 93K
12-16	provider threads			
2021-	TermAppISO	transaction_adv	ice_resptande <u>\\$2</u> 300TION	_ADVI 063_33F98P87NSE1_1102300_06 K
01-15				
2021-	TermAppISO	transaction_adv	ice_resptande <u>\\$2</u> 300TION	_ADVICE3_8RESSP800AISE1_11@300_065K
05-10				
2021-	TermAppISO	transaction_adv	ice_resptande <u>N</u> \$2300TION	_ADVI @5_RESPONSE1_11@300_65 K
05-20				
2021-	TermAppISO	transaction_adv	ice_resptande <u>N</u> \$2300TION	_ADVIG9E1_2RESSPONSISE1_115_300_067K
06-28				
2021-	TermAppISO	transaction_adv	ice_resptande <u>N</u> \$2300TION	_ADVI CES_785E95P8778SE1_110 230 <u>0</u> 69K
06-29				
2021-	TermAppISO	transaction_adv	ice_resfic hse<u>N</u>\$2430 TION	_ADVI C47_57ESSP89AISE1012300062 K
07-16				
2021-	TermAppISO	transaction_adv	ice_resfic hse<u>N</u>\$2430 TION	_ADVI C55_52F59P7915 \SE1_112_300_1 0 0K
07-19				
2021-	TermAppISO	transaction_adv	ice_resptance_\$230TION	_ADVI @B8_RESSP\$\$N\\$E1_212 330 <u>9</u> 01 K
07-22				
2021-	TermAppISO	transaction_adv	ice_resptande <u>N</u> \$2300TION	_ADVI CE1_26E9SP:69A1SE1_ 11230 <u>1</u> 707K
07-23				



5 Session details

```
sessionInfo();
## R version 3.6.0 (2019-04-26)
## Platform: x86_64-redhat-linux-gnu (64-bit)
## Running under: CentOS Linux 7 (Core)
##
## Matrix products: default
## BLAS/LAPACK: /usr/lib64/R/lib/libRblas.so
##
## locale:
   [1] LC_CTYPE=en_US.UTF-8
                                    LC_NUMERIC=C
##
    [3] LC_TIME=en_US.UTF-8
                                    LC_COLLATE=en_US.UTF-8
##
##
    [5] LC_MONETARY=en_US.UTF-8
                                    LC_MESSAGES=en_US.UTF-8
    [7] LC_PAPER=en_US.UTF-8
##
                                    LC_NAME=C
##
   [9] LC_ADDRESS=C
                                    LC_TELEPHONE=C
##
   [11] LC_MEASUREMENT=en_US.UTF-8 LC_IDENTIFICATION=C
## attached base packages:
## [1] grid
                 stats
                           graphics grDevices utils
                                                          datasets methods
  [8] base
##
##
```

```
## other attached packages:
## [1] pander_0.6.3
                       doBy_4.6.7
                                        cmlrutils_1.18 XML_3.98-1.20
## [5] scales_1.1.1
                                       BSDA_1.2.0
                       ggplot2_3.3.2
                                                        lattice_0.20-38
##
## loaded via a namespace (and not attached):
   [1] Rcpp_1.0.5
##
                         highr_0.8
                                          pillar_1.4.6
                                                            compiler_3.6.0
   [5] class_7.3-15
                         tools_3.6.0
##
                                          digest_0.6.25
                                                            evaluate_0.14
##
   [9] lifecycle_0.2.0 tibble_3.0.3
                                          gtable_0.3.0
                                                            pkgconfig_2.0.3
## [13] rlang_0.4.7
                         Matrix_1.2-17
                                          yaml_2.2.1
                                                            xfun_0.17
## [17] e1071_1.7-4
                         withr_2.2.0
                                           stringr_1.4.0
                                                            dplyr_1.0.2
## [21] knitr_1.30
                                          vctrs_0.3.2
                         generics_0.0.2
                                                            tidyselect_1.1.0
## [25] glue_1.4.1
                         R6_2.4.1
                                          rmarkdown_2.6
                                                            farver_2.0.3
## [29] tidyr_1.1.2
                         purrr 0.3.4
                                           cmlbrandr 3.0
                                                            magrittr 1.5
## [33] backports_1.1.8
                        ellipsis_0.3.1
                                          htmltools_0.5.0
                                                            MASS_7.3-51.4
## [37] colorspace_1.4-1 Deriv_4.0.1
                                           labeling_0.3
                                                            stringi_1.5.3
## [41] munsell_0.5.0
                         broom_0.7.0
                                           crayon_1.3.4
```